## Case 1:05-cr-00120-OWW Document 15 Filed 08/03/05 Page 1 of 2

1	QUIN DENVIR, Bar #49374	
2	Federal Defender ERIC V. KERSTEN, Bar #226429	
3	Assistant Federal Defender Designated Counsel for Service	
4	2300 Tulare Street, Suite 330 Fresno, California 93721-2226	
5	Telephone: (559) 487-5561	
6	Attorney for Defendant Dametric Marcel McGrue	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. 1:05-cr-00120 OWW
12	Plaintiff,	) STIPULATION TO CONTINUE STATUS ) CONFERENCE AND SET MOTION BRIEFING
13	V.	) SCHEDULE; AND ORDER THEREON
14	DAMETRIC MARCEL McGRUE,	) Date: September 6, 2005 ) Time: 1:30 p.m.
15	Defendant.	) Judge: Honorable Oliver W. Wanger
16		_)
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties hereto through their respective	
18	counsel, VIRNA L. SANTOS, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for Plaintiff, and ERIC V.	
19	KERSTEN, Assistant Federal Defender, counsel for Defendant Dametric Marcel McGrue, that the da	
20	for status conference in this matter may be continued to September 6, 2005. It is also requested that a	

counsel, VIRNA L. SANTOS, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for Plaintiff, and ERIC V. KERSTEN, Assistant Federal Defender, counsel for Defendant Dametric Marcel McGrue, that the date for status conference in this matter may be continued to September 6, 2005. It is also requested that a new motion briefing schedule be ordered. It is requested that any additional motions may be filed by August 18, 2005; that any response or opposition may be filed by September 1, 2005; and that status conference and hearing on the motions may be set for September 6, 2005. The date currently set for status conference is August 2, 2005. The requested new date is September 6, 2005.

The reason for this request is that defense investigation has revealed that a motion to suppress the evidence discovered during the search of Mr. McGrue's residence is appropriate in this matter and additional time is needed to complete said motion.

The parties agree that the delay resulting from the continuance shall be excluded as necessary for

## Case 1:05-cr-00120-OWW Document 15 Filed 08/03/05 Page 2 of 2

1	effective defense preparation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv), and for the filing of pretrial		
2	motions and ruling thereon pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(F).		
3			
4	McGREGOR W. SCOTT United States Attorney		
5			
6	AUSA Santos has approved of her electronic signature being placed here		
7	DATED: July 27, 2005  By VIRNA L. SANTOS		
8	Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff		
10	OUIN DENVIR		
11	QUIN DENVIR Federal Public Defender		
12			
13	DATED: July 27, 2005 By /s/ Eric V. Kersten		
14	ERIC V. KERSTEN  Assistant Federal Defender  Attorney for Defendant		
15	Dametric Marcel McGrue		
16			
17			
18 19	O D D E D		
20	ORDER  IT IS SO ORDERED. The intervening period of delay is evaluded in the interests of inetics.		
21	IT IS SO ORDERED. The intervening period of delay is excluded in the interests of justice pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(F) and 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).		
22	DATED: August 2, 2005		
23	/s/ OLIVER W. WANGER		
24	OLIVER W. WANGER, Judge United States District Court		
25	Eastern District of California		
26			
27			
28			
	Stipulation to Continue Status Conference and		